

<b>1a) Does your state have a process for electronic delivery of disposition information from courts to the repository?</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Alabama	X	
Alaska	X	
Arizona	X	
Arkansas	X	
California	X	
Colorado	X	
Florida	X	
Georgia	X	
Hawaii	X	
Illinois	X	
Indiana	X	
Kentucky	X	
Louisiana	X	
Maine	X	
Maryland	X	
Massachusetts	X	
Michigan	X	
Missouri	X	
Montana	X	
<b>MT Comments:</b> Montana has a pilot program in progress for delivery of electronic dispositions to the state repository. This pilot involves only 2 of Montana's district courts. The statistics provided are based on transactions received through the pilot program only.		
Nebraska	X	
Nevada	X	
<b>NV Comments:</b> Nevada has a very limited electronic disposition program between the State Repository and the Nevada AOC. Two courts report electronically. As far as manually, sometimes the arresting agency can be worked with to find an arrest even to match. Other times, the disposition is sent back to the court or law enforcement agency that submitted it, and asked to provide additional information so it can be entered into criminal history. Unfortunately, the return rate by law enforcement and the courts for dispositions sent back is extremely low; it is rare that it comes back with complete information to enable data entry into criminal history.		
New Hampshire		X
New Jersey	X	
New Mexico		X
New York	X	
North Dakota		X
Oklahoma		X
Oregon	X	
South Carolina	X	
Tennessee	X	
Utah	X	
Virginia	X	

 **REPOSITORY SURVEY - ELECTRONIC DISPOSITION REPORTING**

**1a) Does your state have a process for electronic delivery of disposition information from courts to the repository? (Continued.)**

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Washington	X	
West Virginia		X
Wisconsin	X	


**REPOSITORY SURVEY - ELECTRONIC DISPOSITION REPORTING**

<b>1b) If yes, does the repository receive electronic disposition information on misdemeanors? Felonies?</b>		
	<b>Misdemeanors</b>	<b>Felonies</b>
Alabama	X	X
Alaska	X	X
<b>AK Comments:</b> Alaska is on the cusp of receiving electronic dispositions. Currently PDF documents are received weekly reporting cases that were dismissed by the prosecutor. These may be either felony or misdemeanor charges. There is no automated updating of our repository; that is still done manually.		
Arizona	X	X
Arkansas		X
California	X	X
Colorado	X	X
Florida	X	X
Georgia	X	X
Hawaii	X	X
Illinois	X	X
Kentucky		X
Louisiana	X	X
Maine	X	X
Maryland	X	X
Massachusetts	X	X
Michigan	X	X
Missouri	X	X
Montana	X	X
Nebraska	X	X
Nevada	X	X
New Hampshire		
New Jersey		X
New Mexico		
New York	X	X
North Dakota		
Oklahoma		
Oregon	X	X
South Carolina	X	X
<b>SC Comments:</b> While all felony dispositions are electronically reported, only some misdemeanor dispositions are reported electronically. There is a statewide electronic disposition reporting system for Circuit Courts which handle felonies and more serious misdemeanors. However, there is not an equivalent statewide system for Summary Courts. These courts handle the less serious misdemeanors. Some Summary Courts report dispositions electronically by various methods while some of them still use paper reporting systems.		
Tennessee	X	X
Utah	X	X
Virginia	X	X
Washington	X	X
West Virginia		
Wisconsin	X	X

## REPOSITORY SURVEY - ELECTRONIC DISPOSITION REPORTING

<b>1c) Does the repository have a suspense file containing dispositions that could not be matched to arrests?</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Alabama	X	
Alaska		X
<b>AK Comments:</b> Alaska has ceased researching missing dispositions. Government agencies receive both state and national records unedited, for the most part. If a non-government agency requests a national background check, we screen the record based on their submitted criteria and report 'no disqualifying information', 'disqualifying information', or 'unable to determine'.		
Arizona		X
Arkansas		
California	X	
Colorado	X	
Florida	X	
Georgia		X
Hawaii	X	
Illinois	X	
Indiana	X	
Kentucky		X
Louisiana		X
Maine		X
Maryland	X	
Massachusetts		X
Michigan	X	
Missouri	X	
Montana	X	
Nebraska	X	
Nevada		X
New Hampshire		X
New Jersey	X	
New Mexico		
New York	X	
North Dakota		X
Oklahoma		X
Oregon		X
South Carolina	X	
Tennessee	X	
<b>TN Comments:</b> TN has a file where dispositions that come in electronically and cannot be processed for one reason or another are dumped. An employee monitors this file, identifies the issue, and attempts to re-process if possible. Those that cannot be processed (like those which are missing the arrest) are returned to the submitting county and they are asked to research and resubmit with the original arrest fingerprint card.		
Utah	X	
Virginia	X	
Washington		X
West Virginia		X
Wisconsin	X	

<b>1d) If yes, what is the count of unmatched dispositions?</b>		
	<b>By charge</b>	<b>By case</b>
Alabama	2,087,533	
Arkansas		81
California		
<b>CA Comments: 1.9 million total</b>		
Colorado		9,247
Florida	1,190,000	
Georgia		
Hawaii	181,251	122,214
Illinois		384,892
Indiana	1,171,816	736,468
Maryland	900,000	140,000
Michigan		355,629
<b>MI Comments:</b> This number represents all possible cases, including those in transition (the arrest data will be added to the system and these cases will match and become active). It also represents a number of situations where the court made an initial data entry mistake, and when they received the notification of the record going into the suspense file they submit a new transaction, which matches the active without canceling the record in the suspense file.		
Missouri		276,313
Montana	15	8
Nebraska		
New Jersey		78,269
New Mexico		
New York	131,000	Approx 40,000
South Carolina		
Tennessee		
Utah	631,000	
Virginia	39,136	
Wisconsin	488,607	107,691

<b>1e/f) If known, how many represent felony charges? Misdemeanor domestic violence charges?</b>		
	<b>Felony charges</b>	<b>MDV charges</b>
Alabama		
Arkansas		
California	1,359	
Colorado		
Florida	323,700	2,700
Georgia		
Hawaii	43,076	
Illinois	98,684	25,356
Indiana	286,013	
Maryland		
Michigan		
Missouri		
Montana	14	
Nebraska		
New Jersey	58,490	19,779
New Mexico		
New York	20,000	
South Carolina		
Tennessee		
Utah	50,000	
Virginia	35,361	3,505
Wisconsin	137,089	55,981

**1g) Please estimate the percentage of dispositions that cannot be matched without follow-up staff investigation.**

	<b>Percentage</b>
Alabama	89%
Arkansas	1
California	
<b>CA Comments: 9% electronic submission, 4% manual</b>	
Colorado	16
Florida	
Georgia	20
Hawaii	
Illinois	
Indiana	
Maryland	100
Michigan	10-15
Missouri	100
Montana	24
Nebraska	
New Jersey	55
New Mexico	
New York	4
South Carolina	4
Tennessee	
Utah	50
Virginia	9.5
Wisconsin	20.9


**REPOSITORY SURVEY - ELECTRONIC DISPOSITION REPORTING**
**2a) Are there any circumstances in which the repository is required to track down missing disposition information before releasing State criminal history information for noncriminal justice purposes?**

	Yes	No
Alabama	X	
Alaska		X
Arizona		X
Arkansas		X
California	X	
Colorado	X	
Florida	X	
<b>FL Comments:</b> The repository releases criminal history information as is. Upon request, the repository researches and updates missing disposition information for criminal and noncriminal justice purposes.		
Georgia		X
Hawaii	X	
Illinois	X	
Indiana	X	
Kentucky	X	
Louisiana		X
Maine	X	
Maryland		X
Massachusetts		X
Michigan	X	
Missouri	X	
Montana	X	
Nebraska	X	
Nevada	X	
<b>NV Comments:</b> For applicants for employment in facilities licensed by the State Health Division, the repository is required to track down missing disposition information before releasing a suitability determination on the applicant. Only generic responses are issued (i.e., “positive”, “negative”, “undecided”). Only missing dispositions from Nevada courts are tracked down due to staffing levels and volume of applicants.		
New Hampshire	X	
New Jersey	X	
New Mexico		X
<b>NM Comments:</b> Any time that a record is not marked “record updated”, the file must be physically located and verified, or changed as needed. Once this has been done, they can mark the file as “record updated” and it can be released.		
New York		X
North Dakota	X	
Oklahoma		X
Oregon	X	
South Carolina		X
Tennessee		X
Utah	X	



**2a) Are there any circumstances in which the repository is required to track down missing disposition information before releasing State criminal history information for noncriminal justice purposes? (Continued.)**

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Virginia	X	
Washington	X	
West Virginia	X	
Wisconsin		X

<b>2b) Are there any circumstances in which the repository is required to redact information because of missing information before releasing State criminal history information for noncriminal justice purposes?</b>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Alabama	X	
Alaska	X	
Arizona		X
Arkansas		X
California	X	
Colorado	X	
Florida		X
Georgia		X
Hawaii	X	
Illinois	X	
Indiana	X	
Kentucky	X	
Louisiana	X	
Maine		X
Maryland		X
Massachusetts		X
Michigan		X
Missouri		X
Montana		X
Nebraska	X	
Nevada		X
New Hampshire	X	
New Jersey	X	
New Mexico		X
New York	X	
North Dakota	X	
Oklahoma		X
Oregon	X	
South Carolina		X
Tennessee		X
Utah	X	
Virginia	X	
Washington	X	
West Virginia		X
Wisconsin		X


**REPOSITORY SURVEY - ELECTRONIC DISPOSITION REPORTING**

**2c) Are there any circumstances in which the repository is required to redact information received from the FBI either because disposition information is missing or because the offenses charged would not under state law disqualify the applicant for the position being sought?**

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Alabama		X
Alaska	X	
Arizona		X
Arkansas		X
California	X	
<b>CA Comments:</b> Yes, but not because of disqualification (DOJ is not a determiner here). Statutory dissemination criteria covers state and federal CHRI.		
Colorado		X
Florida		X
Georgia		X
Hawaii		X
Illinois		X
Indiana		X
Kentucky		X
Louisiana	X	
Maine		X
Maryland		X
Massachusetts		X
Michigan		X
Missouri		X
Montana		X
Nebraska	X	
Nevada		X
New Hampshire	X	
New Jersey	X	
New Mexico		X
New York		X
North Dakota		X
Oklahoma		X
Oregon		X
South Carolina		X
Tennessee		X
Utah	X	
Virginia		X
Washington		X
West Virginia		X
Wisconsin		X

Please return this questionnaire by close of business  
Tuesday, July 20, 2010

Email it to [dennis@search.org](mailto:dennis@search.org), or fax it to (916) 392-8440

QUESTIONNAIRE COMPLETED BY:

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Agency \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_ Email \_\_\_\_\_

1. (a) Does your state have a process for electronic delivery of disposition information from courts to the repository?

Yes     No

- (b) If yes, does the repository receive electronic disposition information on:

Misdemeanors?     Yes     No

Felonies?     Yes     No

- (c) Does the repository have a suspense file containing dispositions that could not be matched to arrests?

Yes     No (*If no, go to question 2.*)

- (d) If yes, what is the count of unmatched dispositions:

by Charge \_\_\_\_\_

by Case \_\_\_\_\_

- (e) If known, how many represent felony charges? \_\_\_\_\_

- (f) If known, how many represent misdemeanor domestic violence charges?

\_\_\_\_\_

- (g) Please estimate the percentage of dispositions that cannot be matched without follow-up staff investigation: \_\_\_\_\_%

*Repository Survey — Electronic Disposition Reporting*

2. (a) Are there any circumstances in which the repository is required to track down missing disposition information before releasing State criminal history information for noncriminal justice purposes?

Yes     No

- (b) Are there any circumstances in which the repository is required to redact information because of missing dispositions before releasing State criminal history information for noncriminal justice purposes?

Yes     No

- (c) Are there any circumstances in which the repository is required to redact information received from the FBI either because disposition information is missing or because the offenses charged would not under state law disqualify the applicant for the position being sought?

Yes     No